(Rs. in lakhs)

S.No.	Name of States/UTs.	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
10.	Maharashtra	441.20	868.50	812.43
11.	Manipur	1.29	2.65	2.19
12.	Meghalaya	98.92	77.08	59.50
13.	Orissa	153.75	187.62	225.20
14.	Punjab	31.64	92.95	178.88
15.	Rajasthan	292.94	455.96	495.83
16.	Tamil Nadu	162.53	124.94	67.92
17.	Uttar Pradesh	603.66	539.65	597.27
	TOTAL:	4483.86	5204.86	4861.68

State-wise percentage of National Highways

1682. SHRI NILOTPAL BASU: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise percentange of Natioanl Highways in the country; and

(b) the State-wise allocation for their maintenance during the last three years; year-wise?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T. G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) A statement showing details is given as Statement-I.

(b) A statement showing details is given as Statement II.

Statement-I

Total length of National Highway in Country, State-wise

S.No.	Names of States	Total Length (Km)	%age of NH to The Total Length
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2888	8.34
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	330	0.95
3.	Assam	2296	6.63
4.	Bihar	2547	7.35
5.	Chandigarh	24	0.069
6.	Delhi	72	0.20
7.	Goa	229	0.66
8.	Gujarat	1631	4.71
9.	Haryana	698	2.01
10.	Himachal Pradesh	854	2.46
11.	Jammu & Kashmir	648	1.87
12.	Karnataka	1996	5.77
13.	Kerala	940	2.72
14.	Madhya Pradesh	2946	8.51
15.	Maharashtra	2918	8.43
16.	Manipur	431	1.24
17.	Meghalaya	472	1.36
18.	Mizoram	551	1.60

S.No.	Names of States	Total Length (Km)	%age of NH to The Total Length
19.	Nagaland	113	0.33
20.	Orissa	[illegible]	4.76
21.	Pondicherry	[illegible]	[illegible]
22.	Punjab	892	[illegible]
23.	Rajasthan	2931	8.47
24.	Sikkim	62	0.18
25.	Tamil Nadu	1896	5.48
26.	Tripura	200	0.58
27.	Uttar Pradesh	2733	7.89
28.	West Bengal	1638	4.86
	TOTAL:	34,608	100.00

Statement-II

Statement indicating allocation of funds for maintenance during the last three years under M&R Schemes

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of State/ UTs	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2146.64	2842.89	3545.34
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	67.24	0.00	0.00
3.	Assam	1678.23	1443.35	1006.87
4.	Bihar	1472.53	1763.55	2193.95
5.	Chandigarh	21.00	26.00	45.00
6.	Delhi	143.25	146.55	207.00
7.	Goa	385.65	206.03	325.36
8.	Gujarat	1316.64	1745.20	2731.50
9.	Haryana	560.43	756.70	885.24
10.	Himachal Pradesh	894.80	1366.41	1516.80
11.	Jammu & Kashmir	75.60	193.11	103.37
12.	Karnataka	1506.78	1768.40	2457.80
13.	Kerala	924.10	863.88	1073.27
14.	Madhya Pradesh	1696.01	1971.52	3176.72
15.	Maharashtra	2150.45	2579.33	3277.04
16.	Manipur	115.20	184.64	276.00
17.	Meghalaya	270.06	426.54	553.70
18.	Mizoram	0.00	0.00	0.00
19.	Nagaland	4.00	8.00	14.00
20.	Orissa	1186.50	1447.83	1981.73
21.	Pondicherry	14.73	21.38	35.88
22.	Punjab	736.97	770.72	1182.13
23.	Rajasthan	1810.83	1860.72	2669.08
24.	Sikkim	0.00	0.00	0.00
25.	Tamil Nadu	1702.86	1789.94	2413.14
26.	Tripura	0.00	0.00	0.00

Sl. No.	Name of State/ UTs	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
27.	Uttar Pradesh	2065.48	2529.94	3377.40
28.	West Bengal	1744.02	1731.33	2081.68
29.	BRDB	0.00	0.00	0.00
30.	NHAI	0.00	0.00	70.00
	TOTAL:	24690.00	28443.96	37200.00

Problems of shipment at Kutch Port

1683. SHRIMATI ANANDIBEN JETHABHAI PATEL: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Bentonite, salt Bauxite and other basic minerals produced in Kutch region of Gujarat are being exported for the last 25 years earning forcing exchange;

(b) whether the exporters of these minerals find it very difficult in the shipment of their commodities from Kutch port and their shipment lie at the port for a long time

(c) whether the exporters have demanded a separate berth for storing and shipment of their commodities at the port for easy handling and quick shipment; and

(d) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken in order to mitigate their grievances?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) and (d) Yes, Sir. Although the Traders have demanded to provide one berth exclusively for the shipment of these minerals at Kandla but keeping in view the available infrastructure facilities, it is not possible to provide this facility as the demand from other export commodities is of a much larger extent.

Maritime State Development Council

1684. SHRI BANGARU LAXMAN: SHRI ANANTRAY DEV-SHANKER DAVE:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have constituted a Maritime State Development Council for making coordinated approach for the development of major and minor ports in the country recently;

(b) if so, the details of its constitution and terms of reference;

(c) whether any time limit has been fixed by Government for giving its recommendations; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Details attached (*See below*)

(c) and (d) It is a Standing Council for making recommendations from time to time.

Consitution of Martime States Development Council

(i)	Minister for Surface Transport, Govt. of India.	:	Chairman
(ii)	Ministers incharge of Ports in States of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Goa, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu, Pondicherry, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa and West Bengal.	:	Members
(iii)	Secretary, Ministry of Surface Transport	:	Member
(iv)	Development Adviser (Ports)	:	Member
(v)	Joint Secretary (Ports)	:	Member-Secretary

The Terms of Reference are as under:—